









TOUR2009 Good Agricultural Practice
GLOBALG.A.P. | Nairobi | Montevideo | Kuala Lumpur | Washington D.C. | Athens

Introduction to the Revision Process for V4 2011

Elmé Coetzer, GLOBALGAP
Athens, 12 November 2009

In Cooperation with:  With kind Support of:  Sponsored by:     




Standard Setting and Revision

- GLOBALGAP standards are revised every 4 years to ensure continued relevance and effectiveness.
 - two opportunities of 60 days each
- Applicable comments received shall be incorporated
 - prepare individual feedback.
 - summary of how each comment has been addressed
- When comments need to be discussed at Sector Committee level to decide whether it must be included or not, consensus must be reached and documented.

<http://www.globalgap.org>
IFA Standard > Supporting documents

1



Aim of Revision

- **G**ood **A**gricultural **P**ractices approach for on-farm production process to deliver safe food.
- Aims at supporting locally developed optimal practices for a given production system based on desired outcome taking into account:
 - Market demands
 - Farmers' constraints
- Avoid duplication, simplify the text, harmonise CP & CC

KISS - KEEP IT SHORT and SIMPLE

3





Responsible People

- Sector Committees
 - Crops
 - Livestock
 - Aquaculture

- GLOBALGAP Technical Team
 - Crops – Friedrich Lüdeke
 - Livestock – Roland Aumüller
 - Aquaculture – Valeska Weymann


- CIPRO Team
 - Integrity – Andras Fekete






4







Schedule (1)

Feb 2007	SC Meetings	Agreement on Main Issues for Revision	✓
June 2007	SC Meetings	First Subgroup meetings	✓
Nov 2007	SC Meetings	Feedback from first subgroup meetings	✓
Feb 2008	SC Meetings	Subgroup results	✓
June 2008	SC Meetings	Subgroup meetings, preparation for conference	✓
Oct 2008	Implementation Conference Call for stakeholder comments V4.0		✓
Nov 2008	SC Meetings	Incorporate feedback from conference	✓
Feb 2009	SC Meetings	Subgroup meetings	✓
June 2009	SC Meetings	Finalisation of proposals	✓



5







1st Public Consultation Period

- Köln, Germany conference 15 October 2008 to 15 January 2009.
- > 120 comments
- > on www.globalgap.org/publiccomments
- > 90% was for Fruit and Vegetables CPCC

6






Schedule (2)


Sep-Nov 2009	5 Round Table Consultation Dialogues
Nov 2009	SC Meetings ➤ Incorporate feedback from Consultation Dialogues
Feb 2010	SC Meetings ➤ Finalisation
Field Trials	
June 2010	SC & Board Meetings ➤ Incorporate feedback from field trials Approval Interim Final
Translators and Benchmarked Schemes have access to Interim Final	
Oct 2010	Stakeholder Conference - Presenting Interim Final Standard V4.0
Jan 2011	V4.0 available for certification



7




2nd Public Consultation Period

- Started in Nairobi until end of December 2009
- Started with a Forum on <http://forum.globalgap.org/>







Nairobi, from: 18 September 2009
 Montevideo, from: 28/10/09 September 2009
 Kuala Lumpur, from: 26/10/09 October 2009
 Washington D.C., on: 19 October 2009
 Athens, from: 06/12/09 December 2009






INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT	TOPICS	POSTS	LAST POST
Proposal for IPM CPCCs Have a look at the proposed CPCCs for the next GLOBALGAP IFA version 2011	1	3	By benetilmoco 14 13.09.09 22:17
Integrated Pest Management Toolbox Comment here on the Toolbox that was developed by the Sector Committees	1	2	By July 14 11.09.09 23:00
System Requirements Should GLOBALGAP introduce a mandatory residue monitoring system or only requirements for recognizing other systems? What should be the key elements of these system requirements?	0	0	No posts
System Checks Should GLOBALGAP introduce statements of risk assessments and system checks for plant protection products use?	0	0	No posts
Bee Population Should GLOBALGAP introduce a requirement for a risk assessment of the effect of plant protection product use on bees populations?	0	0	No posts
Off-label use How to deal with off-label use of plant protection and medical products? (Country of Production versus Country of Distribution)	0	0	No posts
IPM: Prevent, Observe and Control Should GLOBALGAP introduce more prescriptive IPM measures?	0	0	No posts
Guidance What is the right guidance to help producers in an increasingly complex world of regulations and customer requirements?	0	0	No posts
REDUCE/ELIMINATE MICROBIAL CONTAMINATION	TOPICS	POSTS	LAST POST
Specific Risk Profiles Should GLOBALGAP propose product and region specific risk profiles for microbial contamination? <i>Producers with challenging conditions</i>	0	0	No posts

8




2nd Public Consultation Period



Nairobi, from: 18 September 2009
 Montevideo, from: 28/10/09 September 2009
 Kuala Lumpur, from: 26/10/09 October 2009
 Washington D.C., on: 19 October 2009
 Athens, from: 06/12/09 December 2009



Board index » 1.Integrated Pest Management » Proposal for IPM CPCCs

FAQ | Register | Login | Disclaimer/Imprint

IPM: Proposals for Control Points Version 4

3 posts • Page 1 of 1

POSTREPLY

IPM: Proposals for Control Points Version 4

By GLOBALGAP_Kerstin • 10.09.09 19:10

See the current status of the CPCCs for IPM for version 4.

ATTACHMENTS

090907-IPM-CPCC-Proposals.pdf
 (31.12 KB) Downloaded 12 times

Re: IPM: Proposals for Control Points Version 4

By Carol • 11.09.09 20:51

Interesting Doc - until when can this be commented then? I think you're going in the right direction - but it might be a bit abstract for some of the farmers I think of... Will pass it to my colleagues and let you know.


Re: IPM: Proposals for Control Points Version 4


By benetilmoco • 11.09.09 22:17

Considering that IPM is an important concept as part of the Good Agricultural Practices, I think is good to consider the some of the 3 concepts as a Major Must, BUT the problem is that would be cases in some crops that prevention is the only practice, another ones that intervention does not take place, etc So maybe could be better to change CB7.2 as Major (with minimum one activity). CB7.3 should be maintained as Minor with minimum one activity, because some crops is enough prevention and is not necessary any sort of monitoring (e.x trips in banana) and doesn't mean that is not an IPM (detailed explanation given by a experienced Production manager in the companies I work) In the case of Intervention, I see that could have no sense if the level change into a Major, we should consider that would be cases that Interventions are not necessary at all, maybe with a good prevention and monitoring is enough. The 3 requirements the Not applicable option should be maintained. CB 7.5 I agree if is deleted due to seems to be a repeated requirement. (I find it very similar to CB 8.2.6)

Display posts from previous: All posts | Sort by Post time | Ascending | Go

9



 **TOUR2009** Good Agricultural Practice
GLOBALG.A.P. | Nairobi | Montevideo | Kuala Lumpur | Washington D.C. | Athens

2nd Public Consultation Period

Topics

- Integrated Pest Management
- Reduce/Eliminate Microbiological contamination
- GRASP
- Animal Welfare Issues
- Integrity Programme
- Smallholders
- Subcontractor Compliance
- Field Passport
- Local interpretation for Aquaculture sub-scopes
- Water use

10



 **TOUR2009** Good Agricultural Practice
GLOBALG.A.P. | Nairobi | Montevideo | Kuala Lumpur | Washington D.C. | Athens

2nd Public Consultation Period

- Start today until the last conference of the Tour2009 in Athens, 13 November 2009
- Started with a Forum on <http://forum.globalgap.org/>
- CPCC documents available at www.globalgap.org/publiccomments
- Comments can be entered online or sent to publiccomments@globalgap.org

Invite you to help shape the FUTURE of GLOBALGAP – START BLOGGING!

11



2nd Public Consultation Period

Platforms to use:

- One-to-one with GLOBALGAP
- Round Tables
- The forum
- The website – www.globalgap.org/publiccomments
- The National Technical Working group

12





Photo: S. Chikenderi / SYGAMIS

Thank you!

13